1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PAUL HASTINGS LLP CHRISTOPHER H. McGRATH (admitted pro hac vice) chrismcgrath@paulhastings.com 695 Town Center Drive, 17th Floor Costa Mesa, California 92626-1924 Telephone: (714) 668-6200 Facsimile: (714) 979-1921 Counsel for All Defendants PISANELLI BICE PLLC JORDAN T. SMITH (NV Bar. No. 12097)_ JTS@pisanellibice.com 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300	
8 9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: (702) 214-2100 Facsimile: (702) 214-2101 Counsel for Defendant Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11		
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
13	JOSE CHUNG LUO, Individually and on Behalf CASE NO.: 2:21-cv-01612-CDS-BN	W
14	of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
15	v. REGARDING RESPONSE TO AMENDED CONSOLIDATED	
16	SPECTRUM PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., JOSEPH W. TURGEON, KURT A. CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT	
17	GUSTAFSON, FRANCOIS J. LEBEL, M.D., and THOMAS J. RIGA,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20	Plaintiff International Trading Group, Inc. ("ITG") and Defendan	ıts
21	Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ("Spectrum"), Joseph W. Turgeon, Kurt A. Gustafson	n,
22	Francois J. Lebel, M.D., and Thomas J. Riga (collectively, "Defendants," and together with	th
23	Plaintiff, the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree	as
24	follows and jointly request that the Court enter the below Order approving this Stipulation:	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	

previously submitted Stipulation and Proposed Scheduling Order addressing Defendants'

obligation to respond and related briefing on any motion to dismiss be bifurcated into separate

WHEREAS, in its October 4, 2022 Minute Order, the Court requested that the Parties'

applications and additional information be furnished in support of the requested scheduling relief (and a separate stipulation and proposed order for related briefing will be submitted to the District Judge for approval);

WHEREAS, the Class Action Complaint for Violations of the Federal Securities Laws ("CAC") was filed by Plaintiff Jose Chung Luo in this action on August 31, 2021;

WHEREAS, on March 2, 2022, counsel for Luo and Defendants submitted a Stipulation and Proposed Order Staying the Deadline to Answer the Complaint pending the outcome of the

and Proposed Order Staying the Deadline to Answer the Complaint pending the outcome of the pending Lead Plaintiff motions. As part of that Stipulation and Proposed Order, counsel for Luo and Defendants agreed that, upon appointment, the Lead Plaintiff selected by the Court would have 60 days to file an amended complaint and in turn, Defendants would have a comparable 60 days to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint, in part because it was anticipated that the amended complaint would substantially differ from the Luo complaint.

WHEREAS, on March 2, 2022 the Stipulation and Proposed Order was granted in part by this Court staying the obligation of Defendants to answer and permitting the eventual Lead Plaintiff 60 days to file an amended complaint after appointment;

WHEREAS, after briefing by competing applicants, the Court appointed ITG Lead Plaintiff in its order dated July 28, 2022;

WHEREAS, consistent with the March 2, 2022 Stipulation and Order entered by the Court, Lead Plaintiff ITG filed an Amended Consolidated Class Action Complaint ("ACCAC") 60 days later on September 26, 2022. As anticipated, the ACCAC differs substantially from the Luo complaint. Among other differences, the ACCAC: (1) is brought by a new plaintiff, ITG; (2) the ACCAC is 52 pages, more than twice that of the Luo complaint; (3) the Luo complaint's allegations focused solely on Spectrum's drug candidate Rolontis, while the ACCAC has added allegations concerning an entirely different drug candidate, Poziotinib; (4) the ACCAC posits a different class period than the Luo complaint; and (5) the ACCAC has added an individual

1 defendant, Spectrum Chief Executive Officer Thomas J. Riga, who was not named in the Luo 2 complaint; WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred, and agree that setting the response date 3 of Defendants to the ACCAC on November 30, 2022 is appropriate for the foregoing reasons and 4 consistent with the schedule previously agreed upon by counsel.. 5 NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT: 6 Defendants will answer or otherwise respond to the ACCAC on November 30, 2022. 7 DATED: October 7, 2022. 8 **CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS** PISANELLI BICE PLLC 9 /s/ J. Colby Williams /s/ Jordan T. Smith 10 J. Colby Williams (NV Bar No. 5549) Jordan T. Smith (NV Bar No. 12097) 11 710 South Seventh Street, Suite A 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 12 Local Counsel for Lead Plaintiff Counsel for Defendant Spectrum 13 Pharmaceuticals, Inc. ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN 14 & DOWD LLP PAUL HASTINGS LLP Ryan A. Llorens (admitted *pro hac vice*) Christopher H. McGrath 15 Jeffrey J. Stein (admitted pro hac vice) (admitted *pro hac vice*) 695 Town Center Drive, 17th Floor John M. Kelley (admitted *pro hac vice*) 16 655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1924 San Diego, CA 92101 17 Counsel for All Defendants Counsel for Lead Plaintiff 18 International Trading Group, Inc. 19 20 **ORDER** 21 IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendants will answer or otherwise respond to the ACCAC 22 on November 30, 2022. 23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 24 HON. BRENDA WEKSLER 25 DATED: October 11, 2022 26 27 CASE NO.: 2:21-cv-01612-CDS-BNW 28